# JOHN WYCLIF De scientia Dei

### JOHN WYCLIF DE SCIENTIA DEI

### **AUCTORES BRITANNICI MEDII AEVI • 30**

# JOHN WYCLIF DE SCIENTIA DEI

Edited by

**LUIGI CAMPI** 

Published for THE BRITISH ACADEMY by OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS

### Oxford University Press, Great Clarendon Street, Oxford 0x2 6DP

### © The British Academy 2017 Database right The British Academy (maker)

First edition published in 2017

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, without the prior permission in writing of the British Academy, or as expressly permitted by law, by licence or under terms agreed with the appropriate reprographics rights organization. Enquiries concerning reproduction outside the scope of the above should be sent to the Publications Department, The British Academy, 10–11 Carlton House Terrace, London SW1 Y 5AH

You must not circulate this book in any other form and you must impose this same condition on any acquirer

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data
Data available

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data Data available

Typeset by
New Leaf Design, Scarborough, North Yorkshire
Printed in Great Britain
on acid-free paper by

ISBN 978-0-19-726620-5

# A mia figlia, Teresa

## **CONTENTS**

Ackno	wledgements	ix
Introdu	uction	xi
1.	The Place of the De scientia Dei in the Summa de ente	xi
	1.1. The Title of the Summa de ente	xii
	1.2. The Summa de ente as an Editorial Project	xiii
	1.2.1. Authorship	XV
	1.2.2. Structure	xvi
	1.2.3. Editorial Process	xix
	1.2.4. Some Chronological Notes on the Summa de ente	XX
	1.3. Cross-references	xxii
	1.4. Sources	xxxvi
	1.4.1. Augustine	xxxvii
	1.4.2. Aristotle	xxxix
	1.4.3. Thomas Aquinas	xli
	1.4.4. Thomas Bradwardine	xlii
	1.4.5. Other Sources	xliii
	1.4.6. Biblical Books	xlviii
	1.5. Dating of the De scientia Dei	xlix
	1.5.1. Some Chronological Corollaries	lvii
2.	The Manuscript Tradition and Editorial Principles	lxiv
	2.1. Manuscripts	lxiv
	2.2. Quality of the Manuscripts and their Relationship	lxix
	2.2.1. $P$ and $C_1$	1xx
	2.2.2. The Whole Tradition	lxxviii
	2.3. Jan Hus's Textual Borrowings	lxxxiii
	2.4. Criteria for the Edition	lxxxvi
3.	Presentation of the Text	lxxxvii
4.	The Main Themes of John Wyclif's De scientia Dei	xc
	4.1. Structure and Contents of the De scientia Dei	xc
	4.2. Theoretical Framework	xci
	4.3. God's Knowledge as Divine Attribute	xciii
	4.4. God's Knowledge as a Relation of Reason	xcv
	4.5. God's Knowledge of Creatures in their Intelligible Being	xcix
	4.6 God's Knowledge of Creatures in their Actual Existence	vevi

viii CONTENTS

4.7.	God's Knowledge and Understanding: a Double	
	Distinction	ciii
4.8.	God's Practical and Speculative Knowledge	cv
4.9.	Anti-Deterministic Arguments	cviii
4.10.	God's Knowledge of Future Contingents	cxii
	4.10.1. Bradwardine's View	cxiii
	4.10.2. The Immutability and Determinateness of	
	God's Knowledge	cxvi
	4.10.3. God's Knowledge and Will	cxviii
4.11.	Soteriology	cxxiii
	4.11.1. God's Prevenient Grace	cxxv
	4.11.2. Merit, Rewards and Punishments	cxxvi
	4.11.3. God's Cooperation with Human Agents	cxxviii
	4.11.4. Predestination on Account of Foreknowledge	cxxxii
5. Biblio	ography	cxxxv
DE SCIENT	TIA DEI	
Capitulum P	rimum	1
Capitulum Secundum		
Capitulum Tercium		22
Capitulum Quartum		33
Capitulum Quintum		44
Capitulum Sextum		
Capitulum Septimum		88
Capitulum O	ctavum	99
Capitulum N	Ionum	109
Capitulum D	Decimum	113
Capitulum U	Indecimum	125
Capitulum D	Duodecimum	137
Index Locori	um Scripturarum	149
Index Auctorum		
Index Nomir		151 158
Index Rerum	Potiorum	160

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

This volume originates from my doctoral dissertation, defended at the Università degli Studi di Salerno in 2011. My heartfelt gratitude is to my supervisor, Alessandro D. Conti, who has always encouraged my research and generously helped me, from my doctorate onward, with his sharp philosophical and philological skills in our long, friendly and stimulating conversations. I also wish to thank the director of my doctoral program, Giulio d'Onofrio, for ensuring such excellent working conditions for me.

My interest in Wyclif began in 2004 at the Università degli Studi di Milano, thanks to a fascinating course given by my first teacher, Stefano Simonetta, to whom I am profoundly grateful for having awakened my interest in the study of medieval philosophy, and for having advised me with great care and a sense of humour in all my steps in this field ever since. A substantial revision of my dissertation towards its publication was carried out during my postdoctoral fellowship at the Università degli Studi del Piemonte Orientale (Vercelli) from 2012 to 2014, under the direction of Luca Bianchi. To him I have a special debt of gratitude, as I have learnt and continue to learn much from him concerning textual and doctrinal traditions, institutional contexts and intellectual history. I also thank the Board of Directors of 'Chimaera – Centro Studi Maria Elena Reina' for their support of my postdoctoral research at Vercelli and the English-language correction of this volume. The revision of this edition absorbed much of my energy during my postdoctoral fellowship at the Università degli Studi di Torino in 2015: I thank Pietro B. Rossi for his precious editorial suggestions and benevolence.

After the volume was accepted in this series, I could count on the invaluable help of Cecilia Trifogli, whose extremely clever readings of this volume enabled me significantly to improve it. I would like to thank John Marenbon for his critical remarks and suggestions in the final stages of the writing of the introduction.

Over the years I have attended to this edition, I benefited from the assistance of many colleagues and friends. I wish to thank in particular Anne Hudson, for having abundantly shared her knowledge of Wyclif with me since my first stay in Oxford, in 2009; I also owe my gratitude to Giovanni Paolo Maggioni, who has been always ready to help me with philological puzzles.

I have too many reasons to thank my wife, Piki. Without her presence in my life and her patience, this book would not have seen the light of the day.

Luigi Campi Università degli Studi di Milano