Disclaimer: Questo è un documento PDF generato automaticamente in base ai contenuti selezionati dai nostri prodotti. Questa funzionalità viene fornita esclusivamente a vantaggio dellutente e non sostituisce in alcun modo la scansione del documento PDF originale. Né Cengage Learning né i suoi licenzianti rilasciano dichiarazioni o garanzie in merito al documento PDF generato automaticamente. Il documento PDF viene generato automaticamente "SENZA GARANZIE ACCESSORIE" e "SENZA OBBLIGO" e non viene conservato nei nostri sistemi. CENGAGE LEARNING E I SUOI LICENZIANTI DECLINANO TUTTE LE GARANZIE, ESPRESSE O IMPLICITE INCLUSE, SENZA LIMITAZIONE, TUTTE LE GARANZIE RELATIVE ALLA DISPONIBILITÀ, CORRETTEZZA, TEMPESIVITÀ, COMPLETEZZA, NON VIOLAZIONE, GARANZIA DI COMMERCIABILITÀ O IDONEITÀ AD UN USO PARTICOLARE. Lutilizzo da parte dellutente del documento PDF generato automaticamente è soggetto a tutte le restrizioni duso contenute nell'Abbonamento e nel Contratto di Licenza di Cengage Learning e/o nei Termini e nelle Condizioni di {0}. Con lutilizzo della funzionalità di documento PDF generato automaticamente, lutente accetta di rinunciare a qualsiasi rivalsa contro Cengage Learning o i suoi licenzianti relativamente allutilizzo di tale funzionalità e per qualsiasi risultato da essa derivante.

## How to measure poverty.

**Data:** Apr. 15, 2023 **Da:** The Economist

Editore: Economist Intelligence Unit N.A. Incorporated

**Tipo di documento:** Article **Lunghezza:** 658 parole

## Testo completo:

ERADICATING POVERTY in all its forms is the first of the UN's 17 development goals. It is also a priority for many countries. To succeed, multilateral organisations and national governments need good policy—and a way to track their progress. So how should they define and measure poverty?

In 1795 David Davies, a British priest, published a study that documented the lives of labourers in his rural parish. In perhaps the first-ever attempt to measure poverty systematically, he calculated a sum of money that would allow working-class families to live in "tolerable comfort". More than two centuries later, the same concept underpins definitions of poverty around the world. Countries identify a minimum level of income required to meet basic needs such as food, clothing and shelter. Those who have less are considered poor.

Unsurprisingly, these thresholds vary by country. In America, for instance, an individual was deemed poor by the government in 2022 if they earned less than around \$41 a day; the similar threshold in Ethiopia is \$2 a day. These definitions help governments identify who should benefit from policies to alleviate poverty. In America, for instance, how near or far families are from the poverty line determine their eligibility for health and social programmes, such as Medicaid, a government-funded health-insurance scheme.

Poverty measures are also important for tracking the impact of policy. The World Bank, for example, uses an international poverty line to compare poverty across countries. Based on what constitutes poverty in the 28 poorest countries, the threshold of \$2.15 (expressed in 2017 prices and adjusted for currency differences) is the global benchmark for extreme poverty. By this measure, the proportion of the world's population living in extreme poverty dropped from more than 35% in 1990 to less than 10% in 2019. According to a study published by researchers at the Centre for Global Development, a think-tank, in March 2023, this ratio could fall to 2% by 2050 with the right economic conditions.

Yet for many, such progress would not mean the near-elimination of poverty. The World Bank's dollar measure, which defines a bare-minimum standard of living, tracks "absolute" poverty. It does not account for inequality. Yet a person's well-being, some scholars argue, depends on how their standard of living compares with that of others. People's conception of what constitutes basic needs changes by place and over time.

That is why the EU calculates a "relative" poverty rate based on the share of people with incomes below 60% of the median income in the bloc. The OECD, a group of mostly rich countries, defines poverty as living on half the median household income of the country in question. By this measure, the world is much further from eliminating poverty. According to the World Bank, which introduced a relative-poverty measure in 2018, in 2019 there were three times as many people living in such "societal poverty" as there were in extreme poverty.

Increasingly, poverty measures take into account more than just income. The UN's multidimensional-poverty index uses ten indicators that measure education, health and sanitation. These can produce significantly different estimates. In Chad 84% of the population was poor in 2019 by the UN's measure. But according to the World Bank only 31% of people in Chad live in extreme poverty. In theory, a multidimensional measure can be used to direct policy more effectively. Those households that lack access to medical care, for instance, can be the focus of public-health policy.

Such initiatives work best if governments know exactly who needs help. Global standards, such as the World Bank's, are useful for picking out trends, but national policymaking requires more granular information to identify places and people that are struggling. Poor countries often lack the resources and know-how to collect such data. Their governments would often rather spend money on eye-catching projects, such as new schools. Building statistical capacity may not be glamorous. But it's hard to help the poor when you don't know who they are.