

CIRME

Università degli Studi di Milano

BIOLOGICAL VARIABILITY: THE CIRME CONTRIBUTION

F. Braga Centre for Metrological Traceability in Laboratory Medicine (CIRME)

10th International Scientific Meeting. November 17-18, 2016

Università degli Studi di Milano

CIRNE

Centre for Metrological Traceability in Laboratory Medicine (CIRME)

site: http://users.unimi.it/cirme



Useful applications of Biological Variation data

Derived indices

- Index of individuality = $\sigma_{A+I}^2 / \sigma_G^2$
- **RCV** = 2.77 $(CV_A^2 + CV_I^2)^{1/2}$
- **n** = 1.962 (CV_A²+CV_I²)/D

If II >1.4: RI is useful for result interpretation If II <0.6: RI is barely useful for result interpretation (\rightarrow RCV)

If the analyte does not show a normal distribution of data → alternative statistical model [Clin Chem Lab Med 2015;53:815]

n° of samples required to ensure that the homeostatic set point estimate is within a desired percentage of closeness (D)

→ Analytical performance specifications

VI Bruk Strategic Conference Defining appolation	IMPRECISION: $\leq 0.25 \text{ CV}_1 \text{ (O)}$ $\leq 0.5 \text{ CV}_1 \text{ (D)}$	BIAS: < 0.125 $(CV_1^2 + CV_G^2)^{1/2}$ (O) < 0.25 $(CV_1^2 + CV_G^2)^{1/2}$ (D)
performance goals 15 years after the Stockholm Conference	≤ 0.75 CV ₁ (M)	$< 0.375 (CV_1^2 + CV_G^2)^{1/2} (M)$
Milon (IT) 24-25 November 2014	TOTAL ALLOWABLE ERROR: = 1.65	$5(0.25 \text{ CV}_1) + 0.125 (\text{CV}_1^2 + \text{CV}_3^2)^{1/2} (\text{O})$
Registration of the second sec	= 1.65	$5(0.5 \text{ CV}_{\text{I}}) + 0.25 (\text{CV}_{\text{I}}^2 + \text{CV}_{\text{G}}^2)^{1/2} (\text{D})$
In practice approximation provide the first provide the second pr	= 1.65	$5(0.75 \text{ CV}_1) + 0.375 (\text{CV}_1^2 + \text{CV}_3^2)^{1/2} (\text{M})$
 Martine Martine M	[Fi	raser, et al. Ann Clin Biochem 1997;34:8-12]

International Guideline describing the experimental protocol for BV estimate



International Checklist for the preparation of a publication related to a BV study

DE GRUYTER

Clin Chem Lab Med 2015; 53(6): 879-885

2015

Opinion Paper

William A. Bartlett*, Federica Braga, Anna Carobene, Abdurrahman Coşkun, Richard Prusa, Pilar Fernandez-Calle, Thomas Røraas, Neils Jonker and Sverre Sandberg, on behalf of the Biological Variation Working Group, European Federation of Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine (EFLM)

A checklist for critical appraisal of studies of biological variation





Experimental Protocol for BV Estimate





1) PREANALYTICAL PHASE

Selection of subjects

- Ostensibly healthy subjects
 - [or subjects affected by the disease, provided that this is stable (?)]
- Age between 20 and 50 years
 - [unless the clinical use of the analyte is intended for specific age intervals]
- Exclusion criteria:
 - unusual habits and lifestyles
 - taking medications (including contraceptives and over-the-counter drugs)
 - alcohol intake (>10 g of ethanol/day)
 - smoking

[identify more specific variables related to the evaluated analyte!]

• ~ 10 subjects for each identified subgroup



A specific sample of the general population for which it is important to separately derive biological variation data.



1) PREANALYTICAL PHASE

- Sample collection
 - Fixed time intervals, same hour of the day
 - Blood draws:
 - Same phlebotomist
 - 20- or 21-gauge needles
 - Tube type chosen on the basis of the analyte

[The sample time interval and the study duration should be related to retesting times used for the measurements of the specific analyte in clinical practice]

[if it is possible no anticoagulants and without gel separator]

• Fasted subject for at least 12 h without having exercised in at least the preceding 24 h.

Sample storage

- Centrifuge the blood samples within 1 h of collection, but not before 30 min from drawing
- Aliquot them into specified tubes for freezing (secure closure cap)
- Determine the interference indices
- Store the aliquoted specimens at -80°C until analyzed

HE4

Federica Braga*, Simona Ferraro, Roberta Mozzi and Mauro Panteghini The importance of individual biology in the clinical use of serum biomarkers for ovarian cancer

• Ostensibly healthy women:

➢ in pre-menopausal (n=14; age interval, 25−53 years) and

in post-menopausal (n=14; age interval, 50–68 years)

• The selected pre-menopausal women had regular menstrual cycles and were not using hormonal contraceptives

- Blood samples were obtained monthly for four consecutive months
- In women in pre-menopause, the blood was collected between the 12th and 14th d of the menstrual cycle

[to avoid the potential influence of different phases of the menstrual cycle on the biological fluctuation of

HE4 concentrations]







2) ANALYTICAL PHASE Definition of the measurand and selectivity of the analytical method



It is essential, before starting an experimental BV study, to check if:

- a) the *measurand has been uniquely defined* by professional organizations
- b) the *analytical method* used in the experimental study *is selective* (i.e. analytically specific) enough for the measurand as it has been defined.



The analytical selectivity is an important quality to derive BV of an analyte!

wares and	Contents lists available at ScienceDirect	a con
	Clinica Chimica Acta	Contraction of the second seco
LSEVIER	journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/clinchim	

Revaluation of biological variation of glycated hemoglobin (HbA_{1c}) using an accurately designed protocol and an assay traceable to the IFCC reference system

Federica Braga ^{a,b,*}, Alberto Dolci ^b, Martina Montagnana ^c, Franca Pagani ^d, Renata Paleari ^a, Gian Cesare Guidi ^c, Andrea Mosca ^a, Mauro Panteghini ^{a,b} We performed measurements using an assay for which we had previously ascertained its perfect alignment to the IFCC reference system

	CV _۱ %	CV _G %
Our study	2.5	7.1
Ricos database	1.9	5.7



2) ANALYTICAL PHASE

Sensitivity of the analytical method

• The analytical method used in a BV experimental study should be *sufficiently sensitive* to the analyte to allow its reliable determination in enrolled apparently healthy subjects

• Before beginning a BV study, it is therefore appropriate to evaluate whether the LoD of the analytical method is suitable for the concentrations to be measured during the experimental study

CIRME

CELEB

Years









	Clinica Chimica Acta 413 (2012) 1179–1183	
	Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect	" GA
2-2-2-	Clinica Chimica Acta	Clinica Chinica Acta
ELSEVIER	journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/clinchim	
Invited critical review		2
Biologic variabil	ity of C-reactive protein: Is the available information	reliable?
Federica Braga *, Ma	uro Panteghini	

ntro Interdipartimentale per la Riferibilità Metrologica in Medicina di Laboratorio (CIRME). Un

In evaluating the reliability of the available information on the BV of CRP, *we turned our attention to the LoD* reported in studies measuring the very low CRP concentrations detected in healthy individuals:

- Only half of the studies directly or indirectly described the LoD of the method
- All studies older than 1990 suffered from insufficient analytical sensitivity (only standard CRP assays were available!)

DE GRUYTER

Clin Chem Lab Med 2015; 53(5): 815-822

Federica Braga*, Simona Ferraro, Francesca Ieva, Anna Paganoni and Mauro Panteghini A new robust statistical model for interpretation of differences in serial test results from an individual To derive BV of CRP we used a *highly sensitive latex immunoturbidimetric assay* (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany), with a LoD of 0.15 mg/L.

The study involved the collection of 110 serum specimens (five from each of 22 apparently healthy volunteers), each assayed in duplicate. One case was eliminated because three CRP values out of five were < LoD.

2) ANALYTICAL PHASE

Sample analysis

• Only when all samples of all enrolled subjects are available is it possible to proceed with their analysis, which should be performed in:

✓ the same analytical run

[allows the elimination of the between-run CV_A component, difficult to correctly assess]

✓ duplicate

[permit a direct estimate of the within-run CV_A. This is much better than deriving it from the IQC, often obtained on non-commutable materials]

✓ random order

\checkmark single lot of reagents and single analyst



✓ check the alignment of the analytical system with QC materials applying the validation range stated by the manufacturer



3) STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Before starting the statistical analysis, it is advisable to carefully checking the rough results. Particularly, it is important to make sure that all concentrations are above the LoD of the analytical method. Then, it is possible to proceed with the evaluation of outliers and the distribution of the data.



3) STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Tests for outliers

- Cochran's test
 - observations (derived from duplicate measurements)
 - S²_{I+A} (average within-subject total variance)

[examines the ratio of the maximum variance to the sum of variances]

• Reed's criterion

- mean concentration values of subjects

[considers the difference between the extreme value and the next highest (or lowest) value and rejects the extreme if this difference exceeds one-third of the range of all values]



If an outlier is identified, regardless of the level at which it belongs, it is advisable to exclude all data of the corresponding subject.



Cochran's test [observations]

Subject no.	Sample	$(r2-r1)^2/2$ (mg/
	D	0.00020
	E	0.00405
15	A	0.00720
	B	0.00020
	C	0.00045
	D	0.00405
	E	0.00000
16	A	0.00080
	B	0.00000
	С	0.00980
	D	0.00020
	E	0.00500
17	A	0.00980
	B	0.01445
	С	0.01280
	D	0.01620
	E	0.00245
18	A	0.01620
	B	0.01620
	C	0.00125
	D	0.03125
	E	0.00005
19	A	0.00245
	B	0.00005
	C	0.01280
	D	0.02000
	E	0.01125
20	A	0.00045
	B	0.01125
	C	0.00605
	D	0.02205
	E	0.00845
21	A	0.00605
	B	0.00045
	С	0.00005
	D	0.00845
	E	0.00005
Total number of variance	28	105
Sum of variances		1.36905 mg/L
Maximum variance Maximum/sum ratio		0.21125 mg/L 0.154

r1, replicate 1; r2, replicate 2.

Braga F, et al. "Biological variation of free light chains in serum" Clin Chim Acta 2012;415:10-11



[5 blood specimens from each of 21 subjects; each sample measured in duplicate]

• <u>Table for Cochran's test optimized (for p =0.01)</u>: with a total number of ~100 values and 2 degrees of freedom, *the maximum/sum ratio should be* <0.1425

• Experimentally obtained value = 0.154 [the highest detected variance (0.21125 mg/L) considered as an outlier and the subject displaying this variance eliminated from analyses]

• After removing the outlier, Cochran's test was performed again; the maximum/sum ratio = 0.079, which, on the basis of the table for Cochran's test optimized, allowed the data to be accepted.



Braga F, et al. "Biological variation of free light chains in serum" Clin Chim Acta 2012;415:10-11



[5 blood specimens from each of 21 subjects; each sample measured in duplicate]

• <u>Table for Cochran's test optimized (for p =0.01)</u>: with a total number of ~20 values and 4 degrees of freedom, the maximum/sum ratio should be <0.2654

• Experimentally obtained value = 0.288 [the highest detected variance (3.88997 mg/L) considered as an outlier and the corresponding subject eliminated from analyses]

• After removing the outlier, *Cochran's test was performed again; the maximum/sum ratio = 0.261,* which, on the basis of the table for Cochran's test optimized, allowed the data to be accepted.

10th International Scientific Meeting. November 17-18, 2016

Cochran's test [S²_{I+A}]

Subject no.	S^{2}_{I+A} (mg/L)	
1	0.54219	
3	2.50866	
4	0.31316	
5	2.09345	
6	0.17169	
7	0.46693	
8	0.15303	
9	0.13124	
10	0.13100	
11	0.28817	
12	0.22058	
13	0.82346	
14	0.22063	
15	0.09914	
16	3.88997	
17	0.46514	
18	0.15857	
19	0.39579	
20	0.2546	
21	0.17045	
Total number of variances	20	
Sum of variances	13.4978 mg/L	
Maximum variance	3.88997 mg/L	
Maximum/sum ratio	0.28819	



Reed's criterion [mean values of subjects]

Braga F, et al. "Biological variation of free light chains in serum" Clin Chim Acta 2012;415:10-11



[5 blood specimens from each of 21 subjects; each sample measured in duplicate]

Mean (mg/L)	• The mean values were considered <i>in ascending order</i>	
5.88	• To exclude the presence of outliers, the differences	
6.20	between the second lowest value and the lowest and	
6.28	between the highest value and the second highest	
6.68	between the highest value and the second highest	
7.20	should not be >2.51 mg/L [the difference between the	
7.61	maximum and the minimum value divided by 31	
7.75		
7.94	• 6.20-5.88 = 0.32 mg/L: 13.41-9.85 = 3.56 mg/L	
8.00		
8.05	 The subject with the highest k ELC mean value was 	
8.44	aliminate d as sutting und the test ways stad	
8.50	eliminated as outlier and the test repeated	
8.56		
8.66	• 9.85-9.66 = 0.19 mg/L [< 1/3 of the difference	
9.01	between the two extreme values (1.32 mg/L)]	
9.08		
9.66	After remention all the entlieve the number of subjects with	
9.85	After removing all the outliers, the number of subjects with	
13.41	results usable for the estimate of BV for serum k FLC was 18	

3) STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The BV derivation as CV requires that data are normally distributed!

Normality tests

- Shapiro-Wilk test
 - separately to the set of results from each individual
 - [rejected assumption \rightarrow natural log scale transformation]
 - mean concentration values of all subjects
 - [rejected assumption → different statistical test (Kolmogorov-Smirnov test)
 - [rejected assumption \rightarrow natural log scale transformation]



Repeat the Shapiro-Wilk test on the log-transformed values to experimentally confirm the normality of the data distribution!



[rejected assumption \rightarrow stop the calculations] [accepted assumption \rightarrow to derive the variance components from the transformed data. These data must be converted back before calculating the CVs to make these latter applicable to laboratory practice]

Federica Braga*, Simona Ferraro, Francesca leva, Anna Paganoni and Mauro Panteghini A new robust statistical model for interpretation of differences in serial test results from an individual

Accepted normality hypothesis in the great majority of subjects.
Accepted normality hypothesis also for the mean values of all subjects and of male and female subgroups.



36 36

HbA1, (mmol/mol)

37

38 39 40 41

42

Practical example...

31 32 33 34 35

16

14

12

8

Frequen

Accepted normality hypothesis in the great majority of subjects.
 Rejected normality hypothesis for the mean values of all subjects (confirmed by Kolmogorov–Smirnov test) → natural log scale.
 Accepted normality hypothesis for transformed data.

• The S²_µ, S²_G and overall mean converted back via inverse natural log function before deriving biological CVs.



CRP

HbA_{1c}

CgA

- *Rejected* normality hypothesis in the 70% of within-subject values
 → natural log scale.
- Rejected normality hypothesis for transformed data
- \rightarrow stop the calculations



3) STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Tests for comparing populations

• Parametric Student's t-test (or non-parametric Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney)

- To compare the *mean values* of two subgroups [If they are significantly different and the RI, on the basis of II, is useful, the interpretation of test results should be based on RI differentiated by subgroup]

• F-test

- To compare S²_{I+A} values of two subgroups

[If they are statistically different, it is important that all the parameters derived from CV₁(II, RCV, n, analytical performance specifications, etc.) are calculated separately for each subgroup]





Clinica Chimica Acta 415 (2013) 295-296

Practical example...

Cu, Cp and Cu/Cp



Braga et al. "Biologic variation of copper, ceruloplasmin and copper/ceruloplasmin ratio (Cu:Cp) in serum"

	Cu	Ср	Cu/Cp
Student's t-test	F=M	F=M	F>M <i>P</i> =0.02
F-test	F=M	F=M	F=M



3) STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Index of heterogeneity

= $CV_T/[(2/k - 1)^{1/2} \times 100]$, where k is the number of specimens per subject. A significant heterogeneity is present if the ratio differs from unity by at least 2SD, where SD is $1/(2k)^{1/2}$

[If HI is significant: the estimated RCV using the experimentally obtained CVI is not ubiquitously valid, but it may be used as a simple figure to guide clinical decisionmaking]

[If HI is not significant: the average of the observed within-subject variances can be used for calculating a reference difference between two successive measurements, which is valid in different individuals]

Other acceptable tests: Bartlett's Chi-square test Cochran's test Levene's test Brown–Forsythe's test Fligner–Killeen's test





"The RCV obtained for CgA and NSE were not directly transferable to the entire population for the clinical interpretation of marker results, but were just suggestive of the extent that changes in results should reach to indicate the presence of some external sources of variation (e.g. disease progression or therapy effectiveness)"







4) BV RESULT REPORTING

Figure



CONCLUSIONS

• Considering the *importance of BV data* in laboratory medicine, it is essential to experimentally *derive them in an accurate and reliable way* (Particular attention to statistical management!)



Federica Braga & Mauro Panteghini

• Currently, the most commonly used information on the BV of biochemical and hematological analytes is that compiled by the SEQC (<u>www.westgard.com/biodatabase1.htm</u>)



The need to improve it by applying *more stringent criteria* in the selection and review of available BV studies has been recognized...



This is the aim of *New Study Group* created by EFLM under the auspices of the Task Force on Performance Specifications in Laboratory Medicine





Research Centre for Metrological Traceability in Laboratory Medicine (CIRME), University of Milan, Milan, Italy





http://users.unimi.it/cirme/home/index.php



F. Braga