

Università degli Studi di Milano

Centre for Metrological Traceability in Laboratory Medicine (CIRME)

site: http://users.unimi.it/cirme

13th International Scientific Meeting

THE INTERNAL QUALITY CONTROL IN THE TRACEABILITY ERA

MILANO, ITALY November 28th, 2019

IQC component I or how to check the alignment of measuring systems

Sara Pasqualetti







REGULATION (EU) 2017/746 OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 5 April 2017 on *in vitro* diagnostic medical devices and repealing Directive 98/79/EC and Commission Decision 2010/227/EU



Requires IVD manufacturers to ensure traceability of their measuring systems to recognized higher-order references



Roles and responsibilities of IVD manufacturers

To fulfill the EU IVD Directive and REGULATION (EU) 2017/746 Requirements



- Identification of higher-order metrological
 REFERENCES
- Definition of a **CALIBRATION HIERARCHY** to assign traceable values to their system calibrators and bias correction during trueness transfer process



• Estimation of combined **MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY (MU)** of calibrators



• Fulfillment of **MU GOALS**, which represent a proportion of the uncertainty budget allowed for clinical laboratory results

Università degli Studi di Milano

[Braga F & Panteghini M, Clin Chim Acta 2014;432:55]

Identification of higher-order REFERENCES and definition of a CALIBRATION HIERARCHY to assign traceable values to the system calibrators



BIAS CORRECTION during trueness transfer process



Estimate combined MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY (MU) of calibrators and fulfill MU GOALS, which represent a proportion of the uncertainty budget allowed for clinical laboratory results



Patient result



Manufacturers

✓ Combines the uncertainty └
 derived from the previous steps
 of the metrological chain

 ✓ Leaving enough uncertainty budget usable by individual laboratories warranting the production of clinically suitable results

Furthermore, IVD manufacturers should provide:

- Technical documentation
- Instructions for use
- Quality control suitable for post-market traceability surveillance (system alignment)



Only working according to the manufacturer's instructions the declared performance of measuring system can be warranted

INSTRUMENT SETTINGS

CALIBRATION MATERIALS

- PREPARATION STABILITY
- FREQUENCY TRACEABILITY
- UNCERTAINTY

QUALITY CONTROL MATERIALS

- PREPARATION
- STABILITY
- FREQUENCY
- ACCEPTABILITY RANGE

SPECIMENT TYPES ACCORDING TO THE INTENDED USE

REAGENT

- PREPARATION
- STORAGE
- STABILITY (unopen/onboard)

Measuring System Components in the Traceability Era



F. Braga, M. Panteghini / Clinica Chimica Acta 432 (2014)

The Paradigm Shift

If the manufacturer should assume total responsibility for supplying products of acceptable quality in terms of traceability and uncertainty of the system ("CE marked"), it is no longer possible to consider separately the components of each analytical system (i.e., platform, reagents, calibrators and control materials), which in terms of performance can only be guaranteed and certified by the manufacturer as a whole.

Changes introduced by users or third parties (e.g., the use of reagents, calibrators or control materials from other suppliers) may significantly alter the quality of the analytical system performance, removing any responsibility from the manufacturer and depriving the system (and, consequently, the produced results) of the certification originally provided through CE marking.

Quality control suitable for post-market traceability surveillance (system alignment)

The verification of the consistency of declared performance in terms of measuring system alignment (i.e. system traceability) during daily operations must be performed in accordance with the instructions of manufacturer, which has total responsibilities about the declared performance of marketed measuring system.







Control materials from the IVD manufacturers as a part of the CE-marked measuring system (System alignment verification IQC component I)

BASIC PREMISE

If the traceability of the measuring system to higher-order references is granted, control materials from the IVD manufacturers have to be a good surrogate of the employed (and declared) reference to permit checking the correct system alignment to this reference

Internal Quality Control CHARACTERISTICS

Monitoring the reliability of analytical measurements according to defined rules for QC acceptability, with the identification of out-of-control conditions in real time
Identifying situations when the measurement

system may not be provide results suitable for use in medical decisions

Select appropriate IQC

material

[Adapted from CLSI C24-A3]

Statistical Quality Control for Quantitative Measurement Procedures: Principles and Definitions

Define appropriate

interpretative criteria



Plan IQC strategies based on the performance needed to support the intended medical use of results



Internal Quality Control CHARACTERISTICS

Monitoring the reliability of analytical measurements according to defined rules for QC acceptability, with the identification of outof-control conditions in real time
Identifying situations when the measurement

system may not be provide results suitable for use in medical decisions

Select appropriate IQC

material

[Adapted from CLSI C24-A3]

Define appropriate

interpretative criteria



Plan IQC strategies based on the performance needed to support the intended medical use of results



MAIN CHARACTERISTICS OF QUALITY CONTROL MATERIAL FOR SYSTEM ALIGNMENT VERIFICATION



✓ Concentration levels in line with clinically relevant thresholds
 ✓ Unbiased target value (e.g. possibility of correction of bias due to matrix-related effect resulting from the interaction of reagents and "matrix-modified" material) – Commutable materials not needed

 ✓ Acceptability range according to the suitable application of test results in clinical setting

 ✓ Enough stability to monitor the performance of the measuring system under the influence of components potentially deteriorating it



Concentration levels in line with clinically relevant thresholds

Accurate calibration of hs-cTn assays in the low range of concentrations is of the utmost importance for this application that relies on a single troponin measurement @ patient admission.

Even relatively small analytical variations in practice may misclassify patients with suspected acute coronary syndrome.





Tools that labs would need to use to check the performance at the low end of measuring range of hs-cTn assays

- A control material with an hs-cTn concentration near the LoD to monitor baseline drifts (IQC component I)
- A low-level QC material with cTn concentration close to 99th percentile limit to monitor assay performance at cutoff (IQC component II)
- Calibration frequency to be determined based on the imprecision performance and drift characteristics of the assay





However, commercial IQC materials available as part of the hs-cTn measuring systems do not cover such low concentrations, leaving the assay vulnerable to potential drifts that could remain unnoticed.



Letter to the Editor

Elena Aloisio*, Sara Pasqualetti, Alberto Dolci and Mauro Panteghini Daily monitoring of a control material with a concentration near the limit of detection improves the measurement accuracy of highly sensitive troponin assays



Use of an in-house made serum pool with hs-TnT ~5 ng/L (LoD) as a third material, besides commercial Roche materials, to check calibration of the measuring system

- **Target value:** mean of 10 preliminary measurements, performed in optimal conditions.
- **Acceptability range:** ±30% of target value.



If QC results are "out of control", immediate corrective actions are undertaken before further reports related to the patient samples are issued and measurements repeated.



Unbiased target value

The values provided in the data sheet were derived from replicate analyses and are specific for a particular lot of product. These values have been generated using third party manufacturers' instrument systems and are specific to one measurement procedure. Technopath make no accuracy claims regarding these values. Tests were performed by the control manufacturer and/or by independent laboratories, for various methods and instrument systems. As a tool to assist in establishing their own mean, laboratories can import the values into their Alinity c system. For more details and to register for access to this file please visit www.technopathcd.com.

Values are provided only as guidelines, each laboratory should establish its own statistical limits. Laboratory means may vary from the values listed during the shelf life of the control. Technopath monitors the values over the shelf life of the control and provides update(s) at www. technopathcd.com or contact your local Abbott customer service representative. ... Mean value derived from replicate performed by independent laboratory

... Data from interlaboratory program are included in the determination of some ranges

... Values/Range provided only as guides

... Values listed are approximate targets and are provided only for convenience

... No accuracy claims regarding mean value

... Values update during the shelf life of the material available @website

... Each laboratory should establish its own statistical limits

CIRME



Who's taking care of the metrological quality of the assigned value?





ep 1	rep 2	rep 3	media	CIRME	Bias	Bias %		
40	40	40	40.00	38.87	1.13	2.9	1	
78	77	78	77.67	78.13	-0.46	-0.6	ŀ	Optimal Bias
199	199	200	199.33	201.28	-1.95	-1.0	J	
	ep 1 40 78 199	ep 1 rep 2 40 40 78 77 199 199	ep 1 rep 2 rep 3 40 40 40 78 77 78 199 199 200	ep 1 rep 2 rep 3 media 40 40 40 40.00 78 77 78 77.67 199 199 200 199.33	ep 1 rep 2 rep 3 media CIRME 40 40 40 40.00 38.87 78 77 78 77.67 78.13 199 199 200 199.33 201.28	ep 1 rep 2 rep 3 media CIRME Bias 40 40 40 40.00 38.87 1.13 78 77 78 77.67 78.13 -0.46 199 199 200 199.33 201.28 -1.95	ep 1 rep 2 rep 3 media CIRME Bias Bias % 40 40 40 40.00 38.87 1.13 2.9 78 77 78 77.67 78.13 -0.46 -0.6 199 199 200 199.33 201.28 -1.95 -1.0	ep 1 rep 2 rep 3 media CIRME Bias Bias % 40 40 40 40.00 38.87 1.13 2.9 78 77 78 77.67 78.13 -0.46 -0.6 199 199 200 199.33 201.28 -1.95 -1.0

Traceability to the declared reference CONFIRMED !!

Technopath make no accuracy claims regarding these values. Tests were performed by the control manufacturer and/or by independent laboratories, for various methods and instrument systems.

Università degli Studi di Milano

you have a problem with control material!

Serum Albumin DiAgam IQC Component I

QUALITY CONTROL

reproducibility: analytical performances can be checked with the internal quality control serum of the laboratory or with the LiquichekTM (BIO-RAD) Control sera

Calibration: calibration curve and stability of calibration curve can be validated with the DiAgam calibration control (MPCON-002, MPCOS-002 and MPCOX-002). In case of analytical performances modification, calibrate the method again and contact the manufacturer if modifications are subsisting.

110

🔰 Multiparametric Contr

~	REFERENCE

Multiparametric	MPCOS-002	1 x 2 ml	2-8°C
Human multiparametric bi ERM-DA470k/IFCC, sodiu	iological fluid stand um azide (< 1g/l)	ardized from the	e reference
1			
Lot # Expiry date		18E29 05/2020	
Lot # Expiry date Control date		18E29 05/2020 31/07/2018	
Lot # Expiry date Control date Quality control report #		18E29 05/2020 31/07/2018 DGM-QAC-RE	P-18065

Proteins:	CONTROL		
	g/I		
	Target	Range	
Albumin	25,0	20,00 - 30,00	
Alpha1-Antitrypsin	0,81	0,65 - 0,97	
Alpha1-Acid Glycoprotein	0,51	0,41 - 0,61	
Alpha2-Macroglobulin	1,21	0,97 - 1,45	
Antithrombin III*	0,17 ***	0,14 - 0,20 ***	
Complement C3	0,94	0,75 - 1,13	
Complement C4	0,16	0,13 - 0,19	
Ceruloplasmin*	0,30 **	0,24 - 0,36 **	
Haptoglobin	0,80	0,64 - 0,96	
lgA	1,35	1,08 - 1,62	
lgG	6,09	4,87 - 7,31	
IgM	0,57	0,46 - 0,68	
Prealbumin	0,16	0,13 - 0,19	
Transferrin	1,58	1,26 - 1,90	

Values assigned from the reference ERM-DA470k/IFCC. *AT-III and Ceruloplasmin are referenced to external controls.

mail@diagam.com

** Values compatible with the new Ceruloplasmin reagent (since 17H24) and the calibrant MPREK (since 17H28). In case of dour *** Values compatible with calibrant MPREK (since Lot17H28). In case of doubt, contact the manufactur

M OIAgam Avenue Louis Lepoutre 70 – 1050 BRUSSELS Belgium

Enough stability to monitor the performance of the measuring system

UNIVERSITA DEGLI STI DI MILANO

Internal Quality Control CHARACTERISTICS

Monitoring the reliability of analytical measurements according to defined rules for QC acceptability, with the identification of outof-control conditions in real time
Identifying situations when the measurement

system may not be provide results suitable for use in medical decisions

Select appropriate IQC

material

[Adapted from CLSI C24-A3]

Plan IQC strategies based on the performance needed to support the intended medical use of results

Ð

Università degli Studi di Milano Define appropriate interpretative criteria

1) MACROEVALUATION

Checking that the single control value is in the ACCEPTABLE RANGE.

 Acceptance/rejection of the analytical run in 'real time'.
 Any "out of control" signal must promote immediate corrective actions to bring again the situation under control (i.e. within the acceptance range) and before results related to the samples analyzed in the affected analytical run are released.

THIS IS A ROLE OF END-USERS

Checking the temporal trend of control values.

Frequency of control measurements:

JUDGING CRITERIA

a. Check for significant trendb. Evaluate the influence on random source of measurement uncertainty

JUDGING CRITERIA

a. Check for significant trendb. Evaluate the influence on random source of measurement uncertainty

CIRME

UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI MILANO

Check for significant trend

MACRO EVALUATION:Single control values are acceptable

LONGITUDINAL EVALUATION: The decreasing trend of two days data indicates an ongoing problem that becomes definitively evident at the macroevaluation only at the sixth measurement of the control material

JUDGING CRITERIA

a. Check for significant trendb. Evaluate the influence on random source of measurement uncertainty

EXAMPLE Impact of the influence of poor measuring system alignment on measurement uncertainty

Università degli Studi di Milano → Monthly CV: 1.4% (vs 1.3% desirable goal)

random MU

Proposed criteria (I)

LONGITUDINAL EVALUATION

IQC TEMPORAL TREND: Considering at least 3 determination for reducing the influence of random error, it could be appropriate to consider an intervention when, after 4 measurements (e.g. 2 days), the IQC drift exceed 1/4 of the acceptability range.

Proposed criteria (II)

LONGITUDINAL EVALUATION

SHIFT: Consider an intervention when after any change in the measuring system (e.g. reagent lot, calibration, technical intervention, etc.), a difference between two consecutive IQC results exceeds half of the performance specification for measurement uncertainty.

Conclusions

According to the European Regulation, the CE marking should ensure the availability for end-users of measuring systems correctly aligned to higher-order references

- IVD manufacturers therefore assume total responsibility in terms of traceability of commercial measuring systems, including the responsibility in providing a QC material suitable for traceability verification and alignment surveillance
- On the other hand, end-users should improve IQC interpretative criteria to apply prompt corrective actions if the performance of measuring system is worsening and may jeopardize the fulfilment of performance specifications for measurement uncertainty.

Thank you

for Your kind attention !!

Università degli Studi di Milano Sara Pasqualetti