

Derived Torelli Theorem and Orientation

Paolo Stellari



Dipartimento di Matematica “F. Enriques”
Università degli Studi di Milano

Joint work with D. Huybrechts and E. Macri (math.AG/0608430 + work in progress)

Outline

- 1 **Derived Torelli Theorem**
 - Motivations
 - The statement
 - Ideas form the proof
 - The conjecture

Outline

1 Derived Torelli Theorem

- Motivations
- The statement
- Ideas form the proof
- The conjecture

2 The generic case

- The result
- Sketch of the proof

Outline

- 1 Derived Torelli Theorem**
 - Motivations
 - The statement
 - Ideas form the proof
 - The conjecture
- 2 The generic case**
 - The result
 - Sketch of the proof
- 3 The general projective case**
 - The strategy
 - Deforming kernels
 - Concluding the argument

Outline

- 1 Derived Torelli Theorem**
 - Motivations
 - The statement
 - Ideas form the proof
 - The conjecture
- 2 The generic case**
 - The result
 - Sketch of the proof
- 3 The general projective case**
 - The strategy
 - Deforming kernels
 - Concluding the argument

Derived Torelli Theorem

The generic case

The general projective case

Motivations

The statement

Ideas form the proof

The conjecture

The problem

The problem

Let X be a **K3 surface** (i.e. a smooth complex compact simply connected surface with trivial canonical bundle).

Main problem

Describe the group $\text{Aut}(D^b(X))$ of exact autoequivalences of the triangulated category

$$D^b(X) := D_{\text{Coh}}^b(\mathcal{O}_X\text{-Mod}).$$

The problem

Let X be a **K3 surface** (i.e. a smooth complex compact simply connected surface with trivial canonical bundle).

Main problem

Describe the group $\text{Aut}(D^b(X))$ of exact autoequivalences of the triangulated category

$$D^b(X) := D_{\text{Coh}}^b(\mathcal{O}_X\text{-Mod}).$$

Remark (Orlov)

Such a description is available when X is an abelian surface (actually an abelian variety).

Derived Torelli Theorem

The generic case

The general projective case

Motivations

The statement

Ideas form the proof

The conjecture

Geometric case

Geometric case

Torelli Theorem

Let X and Y be K3 surfaces.

Geometric case

Torelli Theorem

Let X and Y be K3 surfaces. Suppose that there exists a Hodge isometry

$$g : H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(Y, \mathbb{Z})$$

which maps the class of an ample line bundle on X into the ample cone of Y .

Geometric case

Torelli Theorem

Let X and Y be K3 surfaces. Suppose that there exists a Hodge isometry

$$g : H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(Y, \mathbb{Z})$$

which maps the class of an ample line bundle on X into the ample cone of Y . Then there exists a unique isomorphism

$$f : X \cong Y$$

such that $f_* = g$.

Geometric case

Torelli Theorem

Let X and Y be K3 surfaces. Suppose that there exists a Hodge isometry

$$g : H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(Y, \mathbb{Z})$$

which maps the class of an ample line bundle on X into the ample cone of Y . Then there exists a unique isomorphism

$$f : X \cong Y$$

such that $f_* = g$.

Lattice theory

Geometric case

Torelli Theorem

Let X and Y be K3 surfaces. Suppose that there exists a Hodge isometry

$$g : H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(Y, \mathbb{Z})$$

which maps the class of an ample line bundle on X into the ample cone of Y . Then there exists a unique isomorphism

$$f : X \cong Y$$

such that $f_* = g$.

Lattice theory + Hodge structures

Geometric case

Torelli Theorem

Let X and Y be K3 surfaces. Suppose that there exists a Hodge isometry

$$g : H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(Y, \mathbb{Z})$$

which maps the class of an ample line bundle on X into the ample cone of Y . Then there exists a unique isomorphism

$$f : X \cong Y$$

such that $f_* = g$.

Lattice theory + Hodge structures + ample cone

Outline

- 1 Derived Torelli Theorem**
 - Motivations
 - The statement**
 - Ideas form the proof
 - The conjecture
- 2 The generic case**
 - The result
 - Sketch of the proof
- 3 The general projective case**
 - The strategy
 - Deforming kernels
 - Concluding the argument

The derived case

The derived case

Derived Torelli Theorem (Mukai, Orlov)

Let X and Y be smooth projective K3 surfaces.

The derived case

Derived Torelli Theorem (Mukai, Orlov)

Let X and Y be smooth projective K3 surfaces.

- 1 If $\Phi : D^b(X) \cong D^b(Y)$ is an equivalence, then there exists a naturally defined Hodge isometry

$$\Phi_* : \tilde{H}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \tilde{H}(Y, \mathbb{Z}).$$

The derived case

Derived Torelli Theorem (Mukai, Orlov)

Let X and Y be smooth projective K3 surfaces.

- 1 If $\Phi : D^b(X) \cong D^b(Y)$ is an equivalence, then there exists a naturally defined Hodge isometry

$$\Phi_* : \tilde{H}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \tilde{H}(Y, \mathbb{Z}).$$

- 2 Suppose there exists a Hodge isometry $g : \tilde{H}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \tilde{H}(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ that preserves the natural orientation of the four positive directions. Then there exists an equivalence $\Phi : D^b(X) \cong D^b(Y)$ such that $\Phi_* = g$.

The derived case

Derived Torelli Theorem (Mukai, Orlov)

Let X and Y be smooth projective K3 surfaces.

- 1 If $\Phi : D^b(X) \cong D^b(Y)$ is an equivalence, then there exists a naturally defined Hodge isometry

$$\Phi_* : \tilde{H}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \tilde{H}(Y, \mathbb{Z}).$$

- 2 Suppose there exists a Hodge isometry $g : \tilde{H}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \tilde{H}(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ that preserves the natural orientation of the four positive directions. Then there exists an equivalence $\Phi : D^b(X) \cong D^b(Y)$ such that $\Phi_* = g$.

It is not symmetric!

Derived Torelli Theorem

The generic case

The general projective case

Motivations

The statement

Ideas form the proof

The conjecture

Additional structures

Additional structures

Lattice structure: The Mukai pairing (Euler–Poincaré form up to sign). The lattice is denoted $\tilde{H}(X, \mathbb{Z})$.

Additional structures

Lattice structure: The Mukai pairing (Euler–Poincaré form up to sign). The lattice is denoted $\tilde{H}(X, \mathbb{Z})$.

Orientation: Let σ be a generator of $H^{2,0}(X)$ and ω a Kähler class. Then

Additional structures

Lattice structure: The Mukai pairing (Euler–Poincaré form up to sign). The lattice is denoted $\tilde{H}(X, \mathbb{Z})$.

Orientation: Let σ be a generator of $H^{2,0}(X)$ and ω a Kähler class. Then

$$P(X, \sigma, \omega) := \langle \operatorname{Re}(\sigma), \operatorname{Im}(\sigma), 1 - \omega^2/2, \omega \rangle,$$

Additional structures

Lattice structure: The Mukai pairing (Euler–Poincaré form up to sign). The lattice is denoted $\tilde{H}(X, \mathbb{Z})$.

Orientation: Let σ be a generator of $H^{2,0}(X)$ and ω a Kähler class. Then

$$P(X, \sigma, \omega) := \langle \operatorname{Re}(\sigma), \operatorname{Im}(\sigma), 1 - \omega^2/2, \omega \rangle,$$

is a positive four-space in $\tilde{H}(X, \mathbb{R})$ with a natural orientation.

Additional structures

Lattice structure: The Mukai pairing (Euler–Poincaré form up to sign). The lattice is denoted $\tilde{H}(X, \mathbb{Z})$.

Orientation: Let σ be a generator of $H^{2,0}(X)$ and ω a Kähler class. Then

$$P(X, \sigma, \omega) := \langle \operatorname{Re}(\sigma), \operatorname{Im}(\sigma), 1 - \omega^2/2, \omega \rangle,$$

is a positive four-space in $\tilde{H}(X, \mathbb{R})$ with a natural orientation.

Hodge structure: The weight-2 Hodge structure on $H^*(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is

$$\tilde{H}^{2,0}(X) := H^{2,0}(X),$$

$$\tilde{H}^{0,2}(X) := H^{0,2}(X),$$

$$\tilde{H}^{1,1}(X) := H^0(X, \mathbb{C}) \oplus H^{1,1}(X) \oplus H^4(X, \mathbb{C}).$$

Derived Torelli Theorem

The generic case

The general projective case

Motivations

The statement

Ideas form the proof

The conjecture

Orientation

Derived Torelli Theorem

The generic case

The general projective case

Motivations

The statement

Ideas form the proof

The conjecture

Orientation

Orientation

- 1 Due to the choice of a basis, the space $P(X, \sigma, \omega)$ comes with a natural orientation.

Orientation

- 1 Due to the choice of a basis, the space $P(X, \sigma, \omega)$ comes with a natural orientation.
- 2 The orientation is independent of the choice of σ_X and ω .

Orientation

- 1 Due to the choice of a basis, the space $P(X, \sigma, \omega)$ comes with a natural orientation.
- 2 The orientation is independent of the choice of σ_X and ω .
- 3 It is easy to see that the isometry

$$j := (\text{id})_{H^0 \oplus H^4} \oplus (-\text{id})_{H^2}$$

is not orientation preserving.

Problem

According to the Derived Torelli Theorem, is the isometry j induced by an autoequivalence?

Outline

- 1 Derived Torelli Theorem**
 - Motivations
 - The statement
 - Ideas form the proof**
 - The conjecture
- 2 The generic case**
 - The result
 - Sketch of the proof
- 3 The general projective case**
 - The strategy
 - Deforming kernels
 - Concluding the argument

Ideas from the proof

Ideas from the proof

Definition

$F : D^b(X) \rightarrow D^b(Y)$ is of **Fourier–Mukai type** if there exists $\mathcal{E} \in D^b(X \times Y)$ and an isomorphism of functors

$$F \cong \mathbf{R}p_*(\mathcal{E} \overset{\mathbf{L}}{\otimes} q^*(-)),$$

where $p : X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ and $q : X \times Y \rightarrow X$ are the natural projections.

Ideas from the proof

Definition

$F : D^b(X) \rightarrow D^b(Y)$ is of **Fourier–Mukai type** if there exists $\mathcal{E} \in D^b(X \times Y)$ and an isomorphism of functors

$$F \cong \mathbf{R}p_*(\mathcal{E} \overset{\mathbf{L}}{\otimes} q^*(-)),$$

where $p : X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ and $q : X \times Y \rightarrow X$ are the natural projections.

The complex \mathcal{E} is called the **kernel** of F and a Fourier-Mukai functor with kernel \mathcal{E} is denoted by $\Phi_{\mathcal{E}}$.

Ideas from the proof

Orlov: Every equivalence $\Phi : D^b(X) \rightarrow D^b(Y)$ is of Fourier–Mukai type.

Ideas from the proof

Orlov: Every equivalence $\Phi : D^b(X) \rightarrow D^b(Y)$ is of Fourier–Mukai type. Generalizable in the following way:

Ideas from the proof

Orlov: Every equivalence $\Phi : D^b(X) \rightarrow D^b(Y)$ is of Fourier–Mukai type. Generalizable in the following way:

Theorem. (Canonaco-S.)

Let X and Y be smooth projective varieties. Let

$$F : D^b(X) \rightarrow D^b(Y)$$

be an exact functor

Ideas from the proof

Orlov: Every equivalence $\Phi : D^b(X) \rightarrow D^b(Y)$ is of Fourier–Mukai type. Generalizable in the following way:

Theorem. (Canonaco-S.)

Let X and Y be smooth projective varieties. Let

$$F : D^b(X) \rightarrow D^b(Y)$$

be an exact functor such that, for any $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in \mathbf{Coh}(X)$,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{D^b(Y)}(F(\mathcal{F}), F(\mathcal{G})[j]) = 0 \text{ if } j < 0.$$

Ideas from the proof

Orlov: Every equivalence $\Phi : D^b(X) \rightarrow D^b(Y)$ is of Fourier–Mukai type. Generalizable in the following way:

Theorem. (Canonaco-S.)

Let X and Y be smooth projective varieties. Let

$$F : D^b(X) \rightarrow D^b(Y)$$

be an exact functor such that, for any $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in \mathbf{Coh}(X)$,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{D^b(Y)}(F(\mathcal{F}), F(\mathcal{G})[j]) = 0 \text{ if } j < 0.$$

Then there exist $\mathcal{E} \in D^b(X \times Y)$

Ideas from the proof

Orlov: Every equivalence $\Phi : D^b(X) \rightarrow D^b(Y)$ is of Fourier–Mukai type. Generalizable in the following way:

Theorem. (Canonaco-S.)

Let X and Y be smooth projective varieties. Let

$$F : D^b(X) \rightarrow D^b(Y)$$

be an exact functor such that, for any $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in \mathbf{Coh}(X)$,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{D^b(Y)}(F(\mathcal{F}), F(\mathcal{G})[j]) = 0 \text{ if } j < 0.$$

Then there exist $\mathcal{E} \in D^b(X \times Y)$ and an isomorphism of functors $F \cong \Phi_{\mathcal{E}}$.

Ideas from the proof

Orlov: Every equivalence $\Phi : D^b(X) \rightarrow D^b(Y)$ is of Fourier–Mukai type. Generalizable in the following way:

Theorem. (Canonaco-S.)

Let X and Y be smooth projective varieties. Let

$$F : D^b(X) \rightarrow D^b(Y)$$

be an exact functor such that, for any $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in \mathbf{Coh}(X)$,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{D^b(Y)}(F(\mathcal{F}), F(\mathcal{G})[j]) = 0 \text{ if } j < 0.$$

Then there exist $\mathcal{E} \in D^b(X \times Y)$ and an isomorphism of functors $F \cong \Phi_{\mathcal{E}}$. Moreover, \mathcal{E} is uniq. det. up to isomorphism.

Derived Torelli Theorem

The generic case

The general projective case

Motivations

The statement

Ideas form the proof

The conjecture

Ideas form the proof

Ideas form the proof

Using the Chern character one gets the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 D^b(X) & \xrightarrow{\quad \Phi \quad} & D^b(Y) \\
 \downarrow [-] & & \downarrow [-] \\
 K(X) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & K(Y) \\
 \downarrow \text{ch}(-) \cdot \sqrt{\text{td}(X)} & & \downarrow \text{ch}(-) \cdot \sqrt{\text{td}(Y)} \\
 \tilde{H}(X, \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\quad \Phi_* \quad} & \tilde{H}(Y, \mathbb{Z})
 \end{array}$$

Outline

- 1 Derived Torelli Theorem**
 - Motivations
 - The statement
 - Ideas form the proof
 - The conjecture**
- 2 The generic case**
 - The result
 - Sketch of the proof
- 3 The general projective case**
 - The strategy
 - Deforming kernels
 - Concluding the argument

The statement

The statement

Conjecture (Szendrői)

Let X and Y be smooth projective K3 surfaces.

The statement

Conjecture (Szendrői)

Let X and Y be smooth projective K3 surfaces. Any equivalence $\Phi : D^b(X) \cong D^b(Y)$ induces naturally a Hodge isometry $\Phi_* : \tilde{H}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \tilde{H}(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ preserving the natural orientation of the four positive directions.

The statement

Conjecture (Szendrői)

Let X and Y be smooth projective K3 surfaces. Any equivalence $\Phi : D^b(X) \cong D^b(Y)$ induces naturally a Hodge isometry $\Phi_* : \tilde{H}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \tilde{H}(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ preserving the natural orientation of the four positive directions.

Let $O_+ := O_+(\tilde{H}(X, \mathbb{Z}))$ be the group of orientation preserving Hodge isometries of $\tilde{H}(X, \mathbb{Z})$.

The statement

Conjecture (Szendrői)

Let X and Y be smooth projective K3 surfaces. Any equivalence $\Phi : D^b(X) \cong D^b(Y)$ induces naturally a Hodge isometry $\Phi_* : \tilde{H}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \tilde{H}(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ preserving the natural orientation of the four positive directions.

Let $O_+ := O_+(\tilde{H}(X, \mathbb{Z}))$ be the group of orientation preserving Hodge isometries of $\tilde{H}(X, \mathbb{Z})$.

Using the conjecture, we would get

$$1 \rightarrow ? \rightarrow \text{Aut}(D^b(X)) \xrightarrow{\Pi} O_+ \rightarrow 1.$$

Outline

- 1 **Derived Torelli Theorem**
 - Motivations
 - The statement
 - Ideas form the proof
 - The conjecture
- 2 **The generic case**
 - The result
 - Sketch of the proof
- 3 **The general projective case**
 - The strategy
 - Deforming kernels
 - Concluding the argument

The statement

The statement

Theorem (Huybrechts-Macri-S.)

Let X and Y be generic analytic K3 surfaces (i.e. $\text{Pic}(X) = \text{Pic}(Y) = 0$). If

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{E}} : D^b(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} D^b(Y)$$

is an equivalence of Fourier-Mukai type, then up to shift

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{E}} \cong T_{O_Y}^n \circ f_*$$

for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and an isomorphism

$$f : X \xrightarrow{\sim} Y.$$

The functors

The functors

Definition

An object $\mathcal{E} \in D^b(X)$ is a **spherical** if

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}[i]) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} & \text{if } i \in \{0, 2\} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The functors

Definition

An object $\mathcal{E} \in D^b(X)$ is a **spherical** if

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}[i]) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} & \text{if } i \in \{0, 2\} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In particular, \mathcal{O}_X is spherical.

The functors

Definition

An object $\mathcal{E} \in D^b(X)$ is a **spherical** if

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}[i]) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} & \text{if } i \in \{0, 2\} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In particular, \mathcal{O}_X is spherical.

The **spherical twist** $T_{\mathcal{O}_X} : D^b(X) \rightarrow D^b(X)$ that sends $\mathcal{F} \in D^b(X)$ to the cone of

$$\bigoplus_i (\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{F}[i]) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X[-i]) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$$

is an orientation preserving equivalence.

Outline

- 1 **Derived Torelli Theorem**
 - Motivations
 - The statement
 - Ideas form the proof
 - The conjecture
- 2 **The generic case**
 - The result
 - Sketch of the proof
- 3 **The general projective case**
 - The strategy
 - Deforming kernels
 - Concluding the argument

Stability conditions (Bridgeland)

Stability conditions (Bridgeland)

For simplicity, we restrict ourselves to the case of stability conditions on derived categories!

Any triangulated category would fit.

Stability conditions (Bridgeland)

For simplicity, we restrict ourselves to the case of stability conditions on derived categories!

Any triangulated category would fit.

A **stability condition** on $D^b(X)$ is a pair $\sigma = (Z, \mathcal{P})$ where

- $Z : \mathcal{N}(X) \otimes \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a linear map

Stability conditions (Bridgeland)

For simplicity, we restrict ourselves to the case of stability conditions on derived categories!

Any triangulated category would fit.

A **stability condition** on $D^b(X)$ is a pair $\sigma = (Z, \mathcal{P})$ where

- $Z : \mathcal{N}(X) \otimes \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a linear map (the **central charge**; here $\mathcal{N}(X)$ is the sublattice of $\tilde{H}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ orthogonal to $H^{2,0}(X)$.)

Stability conditions (Bridgeland)

For simplicity, we restrict ourselves to the case of stability conditions on derived categories!

Any triangulated category would fit.

A **stability condition** on $D^b(X)$ is a pair $\sigma = (Z, \mathcal{P})$ where

- $Z : \mathcal{N}(X) \otimes \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a linear map (the **central charge**; here $\mathcal{N}(X)$ is the sublattice of $\tilde{H}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ orthogonal to $H^{2,0}(X)$.)
- $\mathcal{P}(\phi) \subset D^b(X)$ are full additive subcategories for each $\phi \in \mathbb{R}$

satisfying the following conditions:

The definition

The definition

- (a) If $0 \neq \mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{P}(\phi)$, then $Z(\mathcal{E}) = m(\mathcal{E}) \exp(i\pi\phi)$ for some $m(\mathcal{E}) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$.

The definition

- (a) If $0 \neq \mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{P}(\phi)$, then $Z(\mathcal{E}) = m(\mathcal{E}) \exp(i\pi\phi)$ for some $m(\mathcal{E}) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$.
- (b) $\mathcal{P}(\phi + 1) = \mathcal{P}(\phi)[1]$ for all ϕ .

The definition

- (a) If $0 \neq \mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{P}(\phi)$, then $Z(\mathcal{E}) = m(\mathcal{E}) \exp(i\pi\phi)$ for some $m(\mathcal{E}) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$.
- (b) $\mathcal{P}(\phi + 1) = \mathcal{P}(\phi)[1]$ for all ϕ .
- (c) $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2) = 0$ for all $\mathcal{E}_i \in \mathcal{P}(\phi_i)$ with $\phi_1 > \phi_2$.

The definition

- (a) If $0 \neq \mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{P}(\phi)$, then $Z(\mathcal{E}) = m(\mathcal{E}) \exp(i\pi\phi)$ for some $m(\mathcal{E}) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$.
- (b) $\mathcal{P}(\phi + 1) = \mathcal{P}(\phi)[1]$ for all ϕ .
- (c) $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2) = 0$ for all $\mathcal{E}_i \in \mathcal{P}(\phi_i)$ with $\phi_1 > \phi_2$.
- (d) Any $0 \neq \mathcal{E} \in D^b(X)$ admits a **Harder–Narasimhan filtration** given by a collection of distinguished triangles

The definition

- (a) If $0 \neq \mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{P}(\phi)$, then $Z(\mathcal{E}) = m(\mathcal{E}) \exp(i\pi\phi)$ for some $m(\mathcal{E}) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$.
- (b) $\mathcal{P}(\phi + 1) = \mathcal{P}(\phi)[1]$ for all ϕ .
- (c) $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2) = 0$ for all $\mathcal{E}_i \in \mathcal{P}(\phi_i)$ with $\phi_1 > \phi_2$.
- (d) Any $0 \neq \mathcal{E} \in D^b(X)$ admits a **Harder–Narasimhan filtration** given by a collection of distinguished triangles

$$\mathcal{E}_{i-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_i$$

The definition

- (a) If $0 \neq \mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{P}(\phi)$, then $Z(\mathcal{E}) = m(\mathcal{E}) \exp(i\pi\phi)$ for some $m(\mathcal{E}) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$.
- (b) $\mathcal{P}(\phi + 1) = \mathcal{P}(\phi)[1]$ for all ϕ .
- (c) $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2) = 0$ for all $\mathcal{E}_i \in \mathcal{P}(\phi_i)$ with $\phi_1 > \phi_2$.
- (d) Any $0 \neq \mathcal{E} \in D^b(X)$ admits a **Harder–Narasimhan filtration** given by a collection of distinguished triangles

$$\mathcal{E}_{i-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_i$$

with $\mathcal{E}_0 = 0$ and $\mathcal{E}_n = \mathcal{E}$ such that $\mathcal{A}_i \in \mathcal{P}(\phi_i)$ with $\phi_1 > \dots > \phi_n$.

Stability conditions (Bridgeland)

Stability conditions (Bridgeland)

- The non-zero objects in the category $\mathcal{P}(\phi)$ are the **semistable** objects of phase ϕ . The objects \mathcal{A}_i in (d) are the **semistable factors** of \mathcal{E} .

Stability conditions (Bridgeland)

- The non-zero objects in the category $\mathcal{P}(\phi)$ are the **semistable** objects of phase ϕ . The objects \mathcal{A}_i in (d) are the **semistable factors** of \mathcal{E} .
- The minimal objects of $\mathcal{P}(\phi)$ are called **stable** of phase ϕ .

Stability conditions (Bridgeland)

- The non-zero objects in the category $\mathcal{P}(\phi)$ are the **semistable** objects of phase ϕ . The objects \mathcal{A}_i in (d) are the **semistable factors** of \mathcal{E} .
- The minimal objects of $\mathcal{P}(\phi)$ are called **stable** of phase ϕ .
- The category $\mathcal{P}((0, 1])$ is called the **heart** of σ .

Stability conditions (Bridgeland)

Stability conditions (Bridgeland)

To exhibit a stability condition on $D^b(X)$, it is enough to give

Stability conditions (Bridgeland)

To exhibit a stability condition on $D^b(X)$, it is enough to give

- a bounded t -structure on $D^b(X)$ with heart \mathbf{A} ;

Stability conditions (Bridgeland)

To exhibit a stability condition on $D^b(X)$, it is enough to give

- a bounded t -structure on $D^b(X)$ with heart \mathbf{A} ;
- a group homomorphism $Z : K(\mathbf{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $Z(\mathcal{E}) \in \mathbb{H}$, for all $0 \neq \mathcal{E} \in \mathbf{A}$, and with the Harder–Narasimhan property ($\mathbb{H} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : z = |z| \exp(i\pi\phi), 0 < \phi \leq 1\}$).

Stability conditions (Bridgeland)

To exhibit a stability condition on $D^b(X)$, it is enough to give

- a bounded t -structure on $D^b(X)$ with heart \mathbf{A} ;
- a group homomorphism $Z : K(\mathbf{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $Z(\mathcal{E}) \in \mathbb{H}$, for all $0 \neq \mathcal{E} \in \mathbf{A}$, and with the Harder–Narasimhan property ($\mathbb{H} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : z = |z| \exp(i\pi\phi), 0 < \phi \leq 1\}$).

All stability conditions are assumed to be **locally-finite**. Hence every object in $\mathcal{P}(\phi)$ has a finite **Jordan–Hölder filtration**. $\text{Stab}(D^b(X))$ is the manifold parametrizing locally finite stability conditions.

Stability conditions (Bridgeland)

To exhibit a stability condition on $D^b(X)$, it is enough to give

- a bounded t -structure on $D^b(X)$ with heart \mathbf{A} ;
- a group homomorphism $Z : K(\mathbf{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $Z(\mathcal{E}) \in \mathbb{H}$, for all $0 \neq \mathcal{E} \in \mathbf{A}$, and with the Harder–Narasimhan property ($\mathbb{H} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : z = |z| \exp(i\pi\phi), 0 < \phi \leq 1\}$).

All stability conditions are assumed to be **locally-finite**. Hence every object in $\mathcal{P}(\phi)$ has a finite **Jordan–Hölder filtration**.

$\text{Stab}(D^b(X))$ is the manifold parametrizing locally finite stability conditions.

The group $\text{Aut}(D^b(X))$ of exact autoequivalences of $D^b(X)$ acts on $\text{Stab}(D^b(X))$.

Stability conditions: the generic case

Stability conditions: the generic case

Consider the open subset

$$R := \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_{\geq -1} = R_+ \cup R_- \cup R_0,$$

where the sets are defined in the natural way:

Stability conditions: the generic case

Consider the open subset

$$R := \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_{\geq -1} = R_+ \cup R_- \cup R_0,$$

where the sets are defined in the natural way:

- $R_+ := R \cap (\mathbb{H} \setminus \mathbb{R}_{<0})$,

Stability conditions: the generic case

Consider the open subset

$$R := \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_{\geq -1} = R_+ \cup R_- \cup R_0,$$

where the sets are defined in the natural way:

- $R_+ := R \cap (\mathbb{H} \setminus \mathbb{R}_{<0})$,
- $R_- := R \cap (-\mathbb{H} \setminus \mathbb{R}_{<0})$,

Stability conditions: the generic case

Consider the open subset

$$R := \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_{\geq -1} = R_+ \cup R_- \cup R_0,$$

where the sets are defined in the natural way:

- $R_+ := R \cap (\mathbb{H} \setminus \mathbb{R}_{<0})$,
- $R_- := R \cap (-\mathbb{H} \setminus \mathbb{R}_{<0})$,
- $R_0 := R \cap \mathbb{R}$.

Stability conditions: the generic case

Consider the open subset

$$R := \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_{\geq -1} = R_+ \cup R_- \cup R_0,$$

where the sets are defined in the natural way:

- $R_+ := R \cap (\mathbb{H} \setminus \mathbb{R}_{<0})$,
- $R_- := R \cap (-\mathbb{H} \setminus \mathbb{R}_{<0})$,
- $R_0 := R \cap \mathbb{R}$.

Given $z = u + iv \in R$, take the subcategories

$$\mathcal{F}(z), \mathcal{T}(z) \subset \mathbf{Coh}(X)$$

defined as follows:

Stability conditions: the generic case

- 1 If $z \in R_+ \cup R_0$ then $\mathcal{F}(z)$ and $\mathcal{T}(z)$ are respectively the full subcategories of all torsion free sheaves and torsion sheaves.
- 2 If $z \in R_-$ then $\mathcal{F}(z)$ is trivial and $\mathcal{T}(z) = \mathbf{Coh}(X)$.

Stability conditions: the generic case

- 1 If $z \in R_+ \cup R_0$ then $\mathcal{F}(z)$ and $\mathcal{T}(z)$ are respectively the full subcategories of all torsion free sheaves and torsion sheaves.
- 2 If $z \in R_-$ then $\mathcal{F}(z)$ is trivial and $\mathcal{T}(z) = \mathbf{Coh}(X)$.

Now define abelian subcategories as follows:

Stability conditions: the generic case

- 1 If $z \in R_+ \cup R_0$ then $\mathcal{F}(z)$ and $\mathcal{T}(z)$ are respectively the full subcategories of all torsion free sheaves and torsion sheaves.
- 2 If $z \in R_-$ then $\mathcal{F}(z)$ is trivial and $\mathcal{T}(z) = \mathbf{Coh}(X)$.

Now define abelian subcategories as follows:

- If $z \in R_+ \cup R_0$, we put

$$\mathcal{A}(z) := \left\{ \mathcal{E} \in \mathbf{D}^b(X) : \begin{array}{l} \bullet H^0(\mathcal{E}) \in \mathcal{T}(z) \\ \bullet H^{-1}(\mathcal{E}) \in \mathcal{F}(z) \\ \bullet H^i(\mathcal{E}) = 0 \text{ oth.} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Stability conditions: the generic case

- 1 If $z \in R_+ \cup R_0$ then $\mathcal{F}(z)$ and $\mathcal{T}(z)$ are respectively the full subcategories of all torsion free sheaves and torsion sheaves.
- 2 If $z \in R_-$ then $\mathcal{F}(z)$ is trivial and $\mathcal{T}(z) = \mathbf{Coh}(X)$.

Now define abelian subcategories as follows:

- If $z \in R_+ \cup R_0$, we put

$$\mathcal{A}(z) := \left\{ \mathcal{E} \in \mathbf{D}^b(X) : \begin{array}{l} \bullet H^0(\mathcal{E}) \in \mathcal{T}(z) \\ \bullet H^{-1}(\mathcal{E}) \in \mathcal{F}(z) \\ \bullet H^i(\mathcal{E}) = 0 \text{ oth.} \end{array} \right\}.$$

- If $z \in R_-$, let $\mathcal{A}(z) = \mathbf{Coh}(X)$.

Stability conditions: the generic case

Stability conditions: the generic case

Proposition (Bridgeland)

$\mathcal{A}(z)$ is the heart of a bounded t -structure for any $z \in R$.

Stability conditions: the generic case

Proposition (Bridgeland)

$\mathcal{A}(z)$ is the heart of a bounded t -structure for any $z \in R$.

For any $z = u + iv \in R$ we define the function

$$\begin{aligned} Z : \mathcal{A}(z) &\rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ \mathcal{E} &\mapsto \langle v(\mathcal{E}), (1, 0, z) \rangle = -u \cdot r - s - i(r \cdot v), \end{aligned}$$

where $v(\mathcal{E}) = (r, 0, s)$ is the Mukai vector of \mathcal{E} .

Stability conditions: the generic case

Proposition (Bridgeland)

$\mathcal{A}(z)$ is the heart of a bounded t -structure for any $z \in R$.

For any $z = u + iv \in R$ we define the function

$$\begin{aligned} Z : \mathcal{A}(z) &\rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ \mathcal{E} &\mapsto \langle v(\mathcal{E}), (1, 0, z) \rangle = -u \cdot r - s - i(r \cdot v), \end{aligned}$$

where $v(\mathcal{E}) = (r, 0, s)$ is the Mukai vector of \mathcal{E} .

Lemma

For any $z \in R$ the function Z defines a stability function on $\mathcal{A}(z)$ which has the Harder-Narasimhan property.

Stability conditions: the generic case

Stability conditions: the generic case

Proposition

For any $\sigma \in \text{Stab}(D^b(X))$, there is $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $T_{\mathcal{O}_X}^n(\mathcal{O}_p)$ is stable in σ , for any closed point $p \in X$.

Stability conditions: the generic case

Proposition

For any $\sigma \in \text{Stab}(D^b(X))$, there is $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $T_{\mathcal{O}_X}^n(\mathcal{O}_p)$ is stable in σ , for any closed point $p \in X$.

Definition

An object $\mathcal{E} \in D^b(X)$ is **semi-rigid** if $\text{Hom}_{D^b(X)}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}[1]) \cong \mathbb{C}^{\oplus 2}$.

Stability conditions: the generic case

Proposition

For any $\sigma \in \text{Stab}(D^b(X))$, there is $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $T_{\mathcal{O}_X}^n(\mathcal{O}_p)$ is stable in σ , for any closed point $p \in X$.

Definition

An object $\mathcal{E} \in D^b(X)$ is **semi-rigid** if $\text{Hom}_{D^b(X)}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}[1]) \cong \mathbb{C}^{\oplus 2}$.

Lemma

If $z \in \mathbb{R}_{<0}$, then the only semi-rigid stable objects in $\mathcal{A}(z)$ are the skyscraper sheaves.

The proof

The proof

Consider an equivalence of Fourier–Mukai type
 $\Phi : D^b(X) \rightarrow D^b(Y)$.

The proof

Consider an equivalence of Fourier–Mukai type
 $\Phi : D^b(X) \rightarrow D^b(Y)$.

(a) Take the distinguished stability condition

$$\sigma = \sigma_{Z=(u,v=0)}$$

constructed before. Let

$$\tilde{\sigma} := \Phi_{\mathcal{E}}(\sigma).$$

The proof

Consider an equivalence of Fourier–Mukai type
 $\Phi : D^b(X) \rightarrow D^b(Y)$.

- (a) Take the distinguished stability condition

$$\sigma = \sigma_{Z=(u,v=0)}$$

constructed before. Let

$$\tilde{\sigma} := \Phi_{\mathcal{E}}(\sigma).$$

- (b) We have seen that, there exists an integer n such that all skyscraper sheaves \mathcal{O}_p are stable of the same phase in the stability condition $T_{\mathcal{O}_Y}^n(\tilde{\sigma})$.

The proof

The proof

(c) The composition $\Psi := T_{O_Y}^n \circ \Phi_{\mathcal{E}}$ has the properties:

The proof

(c) The composition $\Psi := T_{\mathcal{O}_Y}^n \circ \Phi_{\mathcal{E}}$ has the properties:

- 1 It sends the stability condition σ to a stability condition σ' for which all skyscraper sheaves are stable of the same phase.

The proof

- (c) The composition $\Psi := T_{\mathcal{O}_Y}^n \circ \Phi_{\mathcal{E}}$ has the properties:
- 1 It sends the stability condition σ to a stability condition σ' for which all skyscraper sheaves are stable of the same phase.
 - 2 Up to shifting the kernel \mathcal{F} of Ψ sufficiently, we can assume that $\phi_{\sigma'}(\mathcal{O}_y) \in (0, 1]$ for all closed points $y \in Y$.

The proof

- (c) The composition $\Psi := T_{\mathcal{O}_Y}^n \circ \Phi_{\mathcal{E}}$ has the properties:
- 1 It sends the stability condition σ to a stability condition σ' for which all skyscraper sheaves are stable of the same phase.
 - 2 Up to shifting the kernel \mathcal{F} of Ψ sufficiently, we can assume that $\phi_{\sigma'}(\mathcal{O}_y) \in (0, 1]$ for all closed points $y \in Y$.

Thus, the heart $\mathcal{P}'((0, 1])$ of the t -structure associated to σ' (identified with $\mathcal{A}(z)$) contains as stable objects the images $\Psi(\mathcal{O}_p)$ of all closed points $p \in X$ and all skyscraper sheaves \mathcal{O}_y .

The proof

The proof

- (d) We observed that the only semi-rigid stable objects in $\mathcal{A}(z)$ are the skyscraper sheaves.

The proof

- (d) We observed that the only semi-rigid stable objects in $\mathcal{A}(z)$ are the skyscraper sheaves. Hence, for all $p \in X$ there exists a point $y \in Y$ such that $\Psi(\mathcal{O}_p) \cong \mathcal{O}_y$.

The proof

- (d) We observed that the only semi-rigid stable objects in $\mathcal{A}(z)$ are the skyscraper sheaves. Hence, for all $p \in X$ there exists a point $y \in Y$ such that $\Psi(\mathcal{O}_p) \cong \mathcal{O}_y$. Therefore Ψ is a composition of f_* , for some isomorphism

$$f : X \xrightarrow{\sim} Y,$$

and the tensorization by a line bundle.

The proof

- (d) We observed that the only semi-rigid stable objects in $\mathcal{A}(z)$ are the skyscraper sheaves. Hence, for all $p \in X$ there exists a point $y \in Y$ such that $\Psi(\mathcal{O}_p) \cong \mathcal{O}_y$. Therefore Ψ is a composition of f_* , for some isomorphism

$$f : X \xrightarrow{\sim} Y,$$

and the tensorization by a line bundle.

- (e) But there are no non-trivial line bundles on Y .

Concluding remarks

Concluding remarks

There are some important features in the proof:

Concluding remarks

There are some important features in the proof:

Proposition

Up to shifts, \mathcal{O}_X is the only spherical sheaf in the category $D^b(X)$.

Concluding remarks

There are some important features in the proof:

Proposition

Up to shifts, \mathcal{O}_X is the only spherical sheaf in the category $D^b(X)$.

Theorem (Huybrechts-Macri-S.)

The manifold parametrizing numerical stability conditions on $D^b(X)$ is connected and simply-connected.

Concluding remarks

There are some important features in the proof:

Proposition

Up to shifts, \mathcal{O}_X is the only spherical sheaf in the category $D^b(X)$.

Theorem (Huybrechts-Macri-S.)

The manifold parametrizing numerical stability conditions on $D^b(X)$ is connected and simply-connected.

This proves a conjecture by Bridgeland in the generic analytic case.

Outline

- 1 **Derived Torelli Theorem**
 - Motivations
 - The statement
 - Ideas form the proof
 - The conjecture
- 2 **The generic case**
 - The result
 - Sketch of the proof
- 3 **The general projective case**
 - The strategy
 - Deforming kernels
 - Concluding the argument

The non-orientation Hodge isometry

The non-orientation Hodge isometry

Take any projective K3 surface X .

The non-orientation Hodge isometry

Take any projective K3 surface X .

We have already remarked that the isometry

$$j := (\text{id})_{H^0 \oplus H^4} \oplus (-\text{id})_{H^2}$$

is not orientation preserving.

The non-orientation Hodge isometry

Take any projective K3 surface X .

We have already remarked that the isometry

$$j := (\text{id})_{H^0 \oplus H^4} \oplus (-\text{id})_{H^2}$$

is not orientation preserving.

Since any orientation preserving Hodge isometry lifts to an equivalence $\Phi : D^b(X) \rightarrow D^b(X)$ (due to HLOY and Huybrechts-S.), to prove the conjecture, it is enough to prove that j is not induced by a Fourier–Mukai equivalence.

The non-orientation Hodge isometry

Take any projective K3 surface X .

We have already remarked that the isometry

$$j := (\text{id})_{H^0 \oplus H^4} \oplus (-\text{id})_{H^2}$$

is not orientation preserving.

Since any orientation preserving Hodge isometry lifts to an equivalence $\Phi : D^b(X) \rightarrow D^b(X)$ (due to HLOY and Huybrechts-S.), to prove the conjecture, it is enough to prove that j is not induced by a Fourier–Mukai equivalence.

We proceed by contradiction assuming that there exists $\mathcal{E} \in D^b(X \times X)$ such that $(\Phi_{\mathcal{E}})_* = j$.

The twistor space

The twistor space

Definition

A Kähler class $\omega \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ is called **very general** if there is no non-trivial integral class $0 \neq \alpha \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ orthogonal to ω , i.e. $\omega^\perp \cap H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$.

The twistor space

Definition

A Kähler class $\omega \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ is called **very general** if there is no non-trivial integral class $0 \neq \alpha \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ orthogonal to ω , i.e. $\omega^\perp \cap H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$.

Take the twistor space $\mathbb{X}(\omega)$ of X determined by the choice of a very general Kähler class $\omega \in \mathcal{K}_X \cap \text{Pic}(X) \otimes \mathbb{R}$. Hence we get a complex deformation

$$\pi : \mathbb{X}(\omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\omega).$$

The twistor space

Definition

A Kähler class $\omega \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ is called **very general** if there is no non-trivial integral class $0 \neq \alpha \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ orthogonal to ω , i.e. $\omega^\perp \cap H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$.

Take the twistor space $\mathbb{X}(\omega)$ of X determined by the choice of a very general Kähler class $\omega \in \mathcal{K}_X \cap \text{Pic}(X) \otimes \mathbb{R}$. Hence we get a complex deformation

$$\pi : \mathbb{X}(\omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\omega).$$

Take $R := \mathbb{C}[[t]]$ to be the ring of power series in t with residue field $K := \mathbb{C}((t))$.

The twistor space

The twistor space

If $R_n := k[[t]]/t^{n+1}$, then the infinitesimal neighbourhoods

$$\mathcal{X}_n := \mathbb{X}(\omega) \times \operatorname{Spec}(R_n),$$

form an inductive system and give rise to a formal R -scheme

$$\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spf}(R),$$

which is the **formal neighbourhood of X** in $\mathbb{X}(\omega)$.

Outline

- 1 **Derived Torelli Theorem**
 - Motivations
 - The statement
 - Ideas form the proof
 - The conjecture
- 2 **The generic case**
 - The result
 - Sketch of the proof
- 3 **The general projective case**
 - The strategy
 - **Deforming kernels**
 - Concluding the argument

The first order deformation

The first order deformation

The equivalence $\Phi_{\mathcal{E}}$ induces a morphism

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{E}}^{HH} : HH^2(X) \rightarrow HH^2(X).$$

The first order deformation

The equivalence $\Phi_{\mathcal{E}}$ induces a morphism

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{E}}^{HH} : HH^2(X) \rightarrow HH^2(X).$$

Proposition

Let $v_1 \in H^1(X, \mathcal{T}_X)$ be the Kodaira–Spencer class of first order deformation given by a twistor space $\mathbb{X}(\omega)$ as above. Then

$$v'_1 := \Phi_{\mathcal{E}}^{HH}(v_1) \in H^1(X, \mathcal{T}_X).$$

The first order deformation

The first order deformation

Let \mathcal{X}'_1 be the first order deformation corresponding to v'_1 .

The first order deformation

Let \mathcal{X}'_1 be the first order deformation corresponding to v'_1 .

Using results of Toda one gets the following conclusion

The first order deformation

Let \mathcal{X}'_1 be the first order deformation corresponding to v'_1 .

Using results of Toda one gets the following conclusion

Proposition (Toda)

For v_1 and v'_1 as before, there exists $\mathcal{E}_1 \in D^b(\mathcal{X}_1 \times_{R_1} \mathcal{X}'_1)$ such that

$$i_1^* \mathcal{E}_1 = \mathcal{E}_0 := \mathcal{E}.$$

Here $i_1 : \mathcal{X}_0 \times_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{X}_0 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}'_1 \times_{R_1} \mathcal{X}'_1$ is the natural inclusion.

The first order deformation

Let \mathcal{X}'_1 be the first order deformation corresponding to v'_1 .

Using results of Toda one gets the following conclusion

Proposition (Toda)

For v_1 and v'_1 as before, there exists $\mathcal{E}_1 \in D^b(\mathcal{X}_1 \times_{R_1} \mathcal{X}'_1)$ such that

$$i_1^* \mathcal{E}_1 = \mathcal{E}_0 := \mathcal{E}.$$

Here $i_1 : \mathcal{X}_0 \times_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{X}_0 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}'_1 \times_{R_1} \mathcal{X}'_1$ is the natural inclusion.

Hence there is a first order deformation of \mathcal{E} .

Higher order deformations

Higher order deformations

Work in progress (... almost concluded)

Construct at any order n , an analytic deformation \mathcal{X}'_n such that there exists $\mathcal{E}_n \in D^b(\mathcal{X}_n \times_{R_n} \mathcal{X}'_n)$, with

$$i_n^* \mathcal{E}_n = \mathcal{E}_{n-1}.$$

Higher order deformations

Work in progress (... almost concluded)

Construct at any order n , an analytic deformation \mathcal{X}'_n such that there exists $\mathcal{E}_n \in D^b(\mathcal{X}_n \times_{R_n} \mathcal{X}'_n)$, with

$$i_n^* \mathcal{E}_n = \mathcal{E}_{n-1}.$$

Problems

Higher order deformations

Work in progress (... almost concluded)

Construct at any order n , an analytic deformation \mathcal{X}'_n such that there exists $\mathcal{E}_n \in D^b(\mathcal{X}_n \times_{R_n} \mathcal{X}'_n)$, with

$$i_n^* \mathcal{E}_n = \mathcal{E}_{n-1}.$$

Problems

- 1 Rewrite Lieblich-Lowen's obstruction for deforming complexes in terms of Atiyah–Kodaira classes.

Higher order deformations

Work in progress (... almost concluded)

Construct at any order n , an analytic deformation \mathcal{X}'_n such that there exists $\mathcal{E}_n \in D^b(\mathcal{X}_n \times_{R_n} \mathcal{X}'_n)$, with

$$i_n^* \mathcal{E}_n = \mathcal{E}_{n-1}.$$

Problems

- 1 Rewrite Lieblich-Lowen's obstruction for deforming complexes in terms of Atiyah–Kodaira classes.
- 2 Show that the obstruction is zero.

Higher order deformations

Work in progress (... almost concluded)

Construct at any order n , an analytic deformation \mathcal{X}'_n such that there exists $\mathcal{E}_n \in D^b(\mathcal{X}_n \times_{R_n} \mathcal{X}'_n)$, with

$$i_n^* \mathcal{E}_n = \mathcal{E}_{n-1}.$$

Problems

- 1 Rewrite Lieblich-Lowen's obstruction for deforming complexes in terms of Atiyah–Kodaira classes.
- 2 Show that the obstruction is zero.

Our approach imitates the first order case.

Outline

- 1 **Derived Torelli Theorem**
 - Motivations
 - The statement
 - Ideas form the proof
 - The conjecture
- 2 **The generic case**
 - The result
 - Sketch of the proof
- 3 **The general projective case**
 - The strategy
 - Deforming kernels
 - Concluding the argument

Equivalences go to equivalences

Equivalences go to equivalences

There exists a sequence

$$\mathbf{Coh}_0(\mathcal{X} \times_R \mathcal{X}') \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Coh}(\mathcal{X} \times_R \mathcal{X}') \rightarrow \mathbf{Coh}((\mathcal{X} \times_R \mathcal{X}')_K),$$

where $\mathbf{Coh}_0(\mathcal{X} \times_R \mathcal{X}')$ is the abelian category of sheaves on $\mathcal{X} \times_R \mathcal{X}'$ supported on $X \times X$.

Equivalences go to equivalences

There exists a sequence

$$\mathbf{Coh}_0(\mathcal{X} \times_R \mathcal{X}') \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Coh}(\mathcal{X} \times_R \mathcal{X}') \rightarrow \mathbf{Coh}((\mathcal{X} \times_R \mathcal{X}')_K),$$

where $\mathbf{Coh}_0(\mathcal{X} \times_R \mathcal{X}')$ is the abelian category of sheaves on $\mathcal{X} \times_R \mathcal{X}'$ supported on $X \times X$.

Proposition

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{E}} \in \mathbf{D}^b(\mathcal{X} \times_R \mathcal{X}')$ be such that $\mathcal{E} = i^* \tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ (here $i: X \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \times_R \mathcal{X}'$ is the inclusion). Then $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_K$ are kernels of Fourier–Mukai equivalences.

Equivalences go to equivalences

There exists a sequence

$$\mathbf{Coh}_0(\mathcal{X} \times_R \mathcal{X}') \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Coh}(\mathcal{X} \times_R \mathcal{X}') \rightarrow \mathbf{Coh}((\mathcal{X} \times_R \mathcal{X}')_K),$$

where $\mathbf{Coh}_0(\mathcal{X} \times_R \mathcal{X}')$ is the abelian category of sheaves on $\mathcal{X} \times_R \mathcal{X}'$ supported on $X \times X$.

Proposition

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{E}} \in D^b(\mathcal{X} \times_R \mathcal{X}')$ be such that $\mathcal{E} = i^* \tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ (here $i: X \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \times_R \mathcal{X}'$ is the inclusion). Then $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_K$ are kernels of Fourier–Mukai equivalences.

Here $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_K$ is the image via the natural functor in

$$D^b((\mathcal{X} \times_R \mathcal{X}')_K) := D^b(\mathbf{Coh}((\mathcal{X} \times_R \mathcal{X}')_K)).$$

The generic fiber

The generic fiber

Proposition

The triangulated category $D^b(\mathcal{X}_K) := D^b(\mathbf{Coh}(\mathcal{X}_K))$ is a generic K3 category, i.e. $[2]$ is the Serre functor and $(\mathcal{O}_X)_K$ is, up to shifts, the unique spherical object.

The generic fiber

Proposition

The triangulated category $D^b(\mathcal{X}_K) := D^b(\mathbf{Coh}(\mathcal{X}_K))$ is a generic K3 category, i.e. $[2]$ is the Serre functor and $(\mathcal{O}_X)_K$ is, up to shifts, the unique spherical object.

Use the generic analytic case

Hence, reasoning as the analytic generic case, one can compose $\Phi_{\mathcal{E}_K}$ with some power of the spherical twist by $(\mathcal{O}_X)_K$ getting a Fourier–Mukai equivalence $\Phi_{\mathcal{G}_K}$ where $\mathcal{G} \in \mathbf{Coh}(\mathcal{X} \times_R \mathcal{X}')$.

The conclusion

The conclusion

Properties of \mathcal{G}

The conclusion

Properties of \mathcal{G}

- 1 $\mathcal{G}_0 := i^*\mathcal{G}$ is a sheaf in $\mathbf{Coh}(X \times X)$.

The conclusion

Properties of \mathcal{G}

- 1 $\mathcal{G}_0 := i^*\mathcal{G}$ is a sheaf in $\mathbf{Coh}(X \times X)$.
- 2 The natural morphism

$$(\Phi_{\mathcal{G}_0})_* : H^*(X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$$

is such that $(\Phi_{\mathcal{G}_0})_* = (\Phi_{\mathcal{E}})_* = j$.

The conclusion

Properties of \mathcal{G}

- 1 $\mathcal{G}_0 := i^*\mathcal{G}$ is a sheaf in $\mathbf{Coh}(X \times X)$.
- 2 The natural morphism

$$(\Phi_{\mathcal{G}_0})_* : H^*(X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$$

is such that $(\Phi_{\mathcal{G}_0})_* = (\Phi_{\mathcal{E}})_* = j$.

Notice that \mathcal{G}_0 and \mathcal{E} have the same Mukai vector!

The conclusion

The conclusion

The contradiction is now obtained using the following lemma:

The conclusion

The contradiction is now obtained using the following lemma:

Lemma

If $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{Coh}(X \times X)$, then $(\Phi_{\mathcal{F}})_* \neq j_*$.

The conclusion

The contradiction is now obtained using the following lemma:

Lemma

If $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{Coh}(X \times X)$, then $(\Phi_{\mathcal{F}})_* \neq j_*$.

Open question

Which is the kernel of the map $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{D}^b(X)) \rightarrow \mathrm{O}_+(\tilde{H}(X, \mathbb{Z}))$?